



Standard Gotchas

Subtleties in the Verilog and SystemVerilog
Standards That Every Engineer Should Know!

Stuart Sutherland

Sutherland HDL, Inc.

Portland, Oregon

stuart@sutherland-hdl.com

Don Mills

Microchip

Chandler, Arizona

don.mills@microchip.com

Presentation Overview

- ❑ What is a “gotcha”?
- ❑ Why do standards have gotchas?
- ❑ What’s covered in this paper
- ❑ Several example gotchas,
and how to avoid them!
- ❑ Summary



What Is A Gotcha?

- In programming, a “gotcha” is a legal language construct that does not do what the designer expects

A Classic C programming Gotcha...

```
if (day = 15)
  /* process payroll */
```



~~If middle of the month, then pay employees...~~

GOTCHA! This code will assign the value of 15 to day, and then if day is not zero, pay the employees

- In hardware design and verification, most gotchas will simulate, but give undesired results
 - Gotchas can be difficult to find and debug
 - A gotcha can be disastrous if not found before tape-out!

Engineers need to know how to recognize and avoid gotchas in hardware modeling!



Why Do Standards Have Gotchas?

- ~~Standards developers are idiots~~
- ~~Users of standards are idiots~~
- Languages can be used the right way, or the wrong way

```
if (day = 15)
  /* process payroll */
```

Gotcha!

A dumb way to use "assignment within an expression"

```
while (data = fscanf(...))
  /* read in data until it is 0 */
```

A clever way to use "assignment within an expression"

- Hardware models are not just simulated, they are synthesized, analyzed, emulated, prototyped, formally proved, ...
 - Each type of tool needs different information from the language
- Verilog and SystemVerilog allow designers to prove what will — and what will not — work correctly
 - It needs to be legal syntax to model bad hardware

Is This a Verilog Gotcha?

- Is the classic C gotcha also a gotcha in Verilog?

```
always @(state)
  if (state = LOAD)
    ...
```

Legal or Illegal?

Illegal! Verilog does not allow assignment statements inside of expressions

- What about in SystemVerilog?
 - SystemVerilog extends Verilog with more C and C++ features

```
always @(state)
  if (state = LOAD)
    ...
```

Legal or Illegal?

If you don't know the answer, then you really need to read this paper!

(We will answer this question at the end of our presentation...)

What's In This Paper...

■ Detailed descriptions of 57 gotchas...and how to avoid them!

- Case sensitivity
- **Implicit net declarations**
- Escaped identifiers in hierarchy paths
- Verification of dynamic data
- Variables declared in unnamed blocks
- **Hierarchical references to package items**
- Variables not dumped to VCD files
- Shared variables in modules
- Shared variables in interfaces, packages
- Shared variables in tasks and functions
- **Importing enum types from packages**
- Importing from multiple packages
- Resetting 2-state models
- **Locked state machines**
- Hidden design problems
- Out-of-bounds indication lost
- **Signed versus unsigned literal integers**
- **Default base of literal integers**
- Size mismatch in literal integers
- Literal size mismatch in assignments
- Z extension backward compatibility
- Filling vectors
- Passing real types through ports
- Port connection rules
- Back-driven input ports
- **Self- & context-determined operations**
- Operation size and sign extension
- **Signed math operations**
- Bit and part select operations
- Increment and decrement operations
- Pre-increment versus post-increment
- Multiple read/writes in one statement
- Operator evaluation short circuiting
- **Assignments in expressions**
- Procedural block activation
- Combinational logic sensitivity lists
- Arrays in sensitivity lists
- Vectors in sensitivity lists
- **Operations in sensitivity lists**
- Sequential blocks with begin...end
- Sequential blocks with partial reset
- Blocking assigns in sequential blocks
- Evaluation of true/false on 4-state values
- Not operator versus invert operator
- Nested if...else blocks
- Casez/casex masks in case expressions
- Incomplete or redundant decisions
- **Out-of-bounds in enumerated types**
- Statements that hide design problems
- Simulation versus synthesis mismatches
- Multiple levels of same virtual method
- Event trigger race conditions
- Using semaphores for synchronization
- Using mailboxes for synchronization
- Coverage reporting
- \$unit declarations
- Compiling \$unit

A Classic Verilog Gotcha: Implicit Net Declarations

- An undeclared signal used in a netlist infers an implicit net
 - Implicit nets can save typing hundreds of lines of code in a large, gate-level design
 - **But...**
 - *An undeclared vector connection infers a 1-bit wire, not a vector;*
 - *A typographical error in a netlist becomes a functional bug*

```
xor u1 (n0, a, b);
and u2 (n1, n0, c);
ram u3 (addr, data, n1);
```

Gotcha!

- Nets **n0** "en-zero" and **n0** "en-oh" are inferred, but are not connected together
- Nets **n1** "en-one" and **n1** "en-ell" are inferred, but are not connected together
- Nets **addr** and **data** are inferred as 1-bit wires, but should probably be vectors

- **To avoid this Gotcha...**
 - Verilog's **default_nettype none** turns off implicit net types
 - SystemVerilog **.name** and **.*** port connections will not infer nets

Gotcha: Default Base of Literal Numbers is Decimal

- Optionally, literal numbers can be defined with a base

```
'hC // unsized hex value
```

```
2'b01 // sized binary value
```

- But...the default base is decimal*

```
reg [1:0] state;

always @(state)
  case (state)
    00: // do State 0 stuff
    01: // do State 1 stuff
    10: // do State 2 stuff
    11: // do State 3 stuff
  endcase
```



Why don't states 2 and 3 ever execute?



Hint: There are 10 types of people in the world... those that know binary, and those that don't!

- To avoid this Gotcha...*

- Use **unique case** to detect the error
- Use based numbers to fix the problem (e.g **2'b10**)

Gotcha: Literal Numbers Are Zero-extended to Their Size

- Literal number syntax is: **<size>'<signed><base><value>**
 - <size>** (optional) is the number of bits (default size is 32 bits)
 - <signed>** (optional) is the letter **s** or **S** (default is unsigned)
 - <base>** is **b**, **o**, **d**, **h** for binary, octal, decimal or hex (not case sensitive)

```
'hC // unsigned hex value
```

```
2'b01 // sized binary value
```

- If the size does not match the number of bits in the value:
 - If the left-most bit of value is **0** or **1**, the value is left-extended with **0**
 - If the left-most bit of value is **Z**, the value is left-extended with **Z**
 - If the left-most bit of value is **X**, the value is left-extended with **X**
 - But...a signed value is not sign-extended!**

<code>8'hA</code>	unsigned value extends to	<code>00001010</code>
<code>8'shA</code>	signed value extends to	<code>00001010</code>

8'shA is not sign-extended because the sign bit is the MSB of the size, not the MSB of the value!



- To avoid this Gotcha...**
 - Engineers need to learn Verilog's sign extension rules! 😊

Gotcha: Importing Enumerated Types from Packages

- Packages declarations can be “imported” into modules
 - **But...** importing an enumerated type does not import the enumerated labels

```
package chip_types;
  typedef enum {RESET, WAITE, LOAD, READY} states_t;
endpackage
```

```
module chip (...);
  import chip_types::states_t;
  states_t state, next_state;
  always @(posedge clk, negedge rstN)
    if (!resetN) state <= RESET;
  ...
```



RESET has not been imported into chip

- **To avoid this Gotcha...**
 - Either use a wildcard import to import the full package, or explicitly import each enumerated label

```
import chip_types::*;
```

Gotcha: Locked State Machines with Enumerated Types

- Enumerated types are useful for modeling FSM state names
 - But...** *enumerated variables start simulation with uninitialized values* (the starting value of the base data type of the enum)

```

module chip (...);
  typedef enum {WAITE, LOAD, READY} states_t;
  states_t State, nState;

  always_ff @(posedge clk, negedge rstN)
    if (!rstN) State <= WAITE;
    else      State <= nState;

  always @(State)
    case (State)
      WAITE: nState = LOAD;
      ...
    endcase
  endmodule
  
```



State and nState both begin with WAITE

- The default base data type is int
- The uninitialized value of an int is 0
- The default value of WAITE is 0

Reset sets State to WAITE

- Since State is already WAITE, there is *no change to State*
- The always @(State) does not trigger
- nState does not get updated (remains WAITE)

A posedge clock sets State to nState, which is WAITE

- Since State is already WAITE, there is *no change to State*
- The always @(State) does not trigger
- nState does not get updated (remains WAITE)

- To avoid this Gotcha...**
 - Use **always_comb** instead of **always @(State)** or **always @***
 - and/or declare the enumerated type with a 4-state base type

Gotcha: Out-of-Bounds in Enumerated Types

- Enumerated types define a legal set of values for a variable
 - It is illegal to assign an enumerated variable a value not in its list
 - But...** *power-up and/or casting can cause out-of-bounds values*

```

module chip (...);
  typedef enum logic [2:0] {WAITE=3'b001, LOAD=3'b010, READY=3'b100} states_t;
  states_t State, nState;
  always_comb begin
    if (enable) nState = states_t'(State + 1); // move to next label in list?
    ...
  end

```



- If `State` is `WAITE`, adding 1 results in `nState` having `3'b010` (the value of `LOAD`)
- If `State` is `LOAD`, adding 1 results in `nState` having `3'b011` (not in the enumerated list!)
- If `State` is `WAITE`, adding 1 results in `nState` having `3'b101` (not in the enumerated list!)
- At start of simulation, `State` and `nState` have `3'bxxx` (not in the enumerated list!)

To avoid this Gotcha...

- Out-of-bounds at power-up is useful—it indicates reset problems
- Out-of-bounds assignments can be prevented using enumerated methods

```
nState = State.next; // move to next label in list
```

Gotcha: Hierarchical References to Package Items

- Verification can peek inside a design scope using hierarchy paths
 - Hierarchy paths reference objects where there are declared
 - But...** package items are not declared within the design scope

```
package chip_types;
  typedef enum {RESET, WAITE, LOAD, READY} states_t;
endpackage
```

```
module chip (...);
  import chip_types::*;
  always @(posedge clk, negedge rstN)
    if (!resetN) state <= RESET;
  ...
```

```
module test (...);
  chip dut (...);
  ...
  master_reset = 1;
  ##1 assert (dut.state == dut.RESET);
```



Module chip can use **RESET**, but **RESET** is not defined in chip

- To avoid this Gotcha...**
 - The package must also be imported into test bench, OR...
 - Package items can be referenced with a “scope resolution operator”

```
##1 assert (dut.state == chip_types::RESET);
```

Gotcha: Operation Size and Sign Extension

- There are two types of operators in Verilog and SystemVerilog
 - Self-determined operators** do not modify their operand(s)

```
logic [1:0] a;
logic [3:0] b;
ena = a && b;
```

Logical-AND is **self-determined** (the operation on **a** does not depend on the size or signedness of **ena** or **b**; the operation on **b** does not depend on **ena** or **a**)

- Context-determined operators** modify all operands to have the same size, using zero-extension or sign-extension
 - But,...** the context for size and sign are different!

```
logic signed [3:0] a;
logic signed [4:0] c;
a = -1;
c = a + 1'b1;
```



ADD is **context-determined** (the operation depends on the size of **a**, **c** and **1'b1**) and signedness of **a** and **1'b1**)

What is $-1 + 1$?

Answer A: $-1 + 1'b1 = -16!$

Answer B: $-1 + 1'sb1 = -2!$

Answer C: $-1 + 2'sb01 = 0!$

- To avoid this Gotcha...**
 - You have got to know if an operator is **self-determined** or **context-determined** — See the table in this paper!

Explanation of Operation Size and Sign Extension Example

- **Context-determined operators** modify all operands to have the same size, using zero-extension or sign-extension
- **But,...** *the context for size and sign are different!*
 - The context for size is both the right and left-hand side of an assignment
 - The context for signedness is just the right-hand side of an assignment

```
logic signed [3:0] a = -1;
logic signed [4:0] c;
```

Why is "c = a + 1'b1" = -16?

- **Size context is 5-bits** (largest expression size is c)
- **Sign context is unsigned** (1'b1 is an unsigned expression)

a is 1111 (-1) which extends to 01111 (15)
 1'b1 is 1 (1) which extends to 00001 (1)
c = 10000 (-16)

Why is "c = a + 1'sb1" = -2?

- **Size context is 5-bits** (largest expression size is c)
- **Sign context is signed** (a and 1'sb1 are signed expressions)

a is 1111 (-1) which extends to 11111 (-1)
 1'sb1 is 1 (1) which extends to 11111 (-1)
c = 11110 (-2)

Gotcha: Signed Arithmetic

- Math operations are context-determined
 - Signed arithmetic is done if all operands are signed
 - But,...** *If any operand in the context is unsigned, then unsigned arithmetic is done*

```
logic signed [3:0] a, b;
logic          ci;
logic signed [4:0] sum;
sum = a + b + ci;
```

Gotcha!

Unsigned adder, even though *a*, *b* and *sum* are signed

- Size context is largest vector on left and right sides
 - a*, *b* and *ci* are extended to 5 bits before being added
- Sign context is only the operands on right side
 - ci* is unsigned, so the context is unsigned
 - a* and *b* are converted to unsigned and zero extended

```
logic signed [3:0] a, b;
logic signed     ci;
logic signed [4:0] sum;
sum = a + b + ci;
```

Gotcha!

Signed adder that subtracts carry in

- a*, *b* and *ci* are signed, so the context is signed
- if *ci* is 1, sign extending to 5 bits gives **11111** (binary)
- As a signed value, **11111** (binary) is **-1!**

- To avoid this Gotcha...**
 - Engineers must know Verilog's context-operation rules!

```
sum = a + b + signed'({1'b0,ci});
```


Gotcha: Operations in Sensitivity Lists

- Verilog sensitivity lists can contain operations
 - But,...* the list is only sensitive to changes in the operation result

```
always @(a or b)
  sum = a + b;
```

"or" is a separator, not an operation;
Sensitive to changes on a or b

If a is 1, and b changes from 0 to 1, the block will trigger



```
always @(a || b)
  sum = a + b;
```

"||" is the logical-OR operator;
Sensitive to changes on the result of the test "is a true, OR is b true"

If a is 1, and b changes from 0 to 1, the block will not trigger

- To avoid this Gotcha...*
 - For combinational logic, use **always @*** or **always_comb** to infer a correct combinational logic
 - For sequential logic, using a **comma** instead of "or" to separate items in the sensitivity list

Gotcha: Assignments in Expressions

- Is the classic C gotcha also a gotcha in SystemVerilog?

```
always @(state)
  if (state = LOAD)
    ...
```

Legal or Illegal?

- SystemVerilog allows assignments in expressions...
 - **But,...** the syntax is different than C — the assign statement must be enclosed in parentheses

```
always @(state)
  if ( (state = LOAD) )
    ...
```



The different syntax helps prevent the gotcha of using = where == is intended, but...
The different syntax is confusing to C/C++ programmers when an assignment is intended

- **To avoid this Gotcha...**
 - “It is what it is” — Engineers need to learn the unique SystemVerilog syntax



Summary

Don Mills, Microchip
Stu Sutherland
SUTHERLAND
training engineers HDL
to be SystemVerilog Wizards

- Programming languages have gotchas
 - A legal construct used in a way that gives unexpected results
 - Gotchas occur because useful language features can be abused
 - Some gotchas are because Verilog and SystemVerilog allow engineers to prove what won't work in hardware
- A gotcha in a hardware model can be disastrous
 - Difficult to find and debug
 - If not found before tape-out, can be very costly
- This paper describes 57 Verilog and SystemVerilog gotchas
 - Detailed explanations of each gotcha
 - Guidelines on how to avoid each gotcha
 - Lots of code examples

Questions & Answers...

Do you have a favorite gotcha that is not in the paper?

Please send it to Stu or Don!

And then stay tuned for a "Standard Gotchas, Part 2" paper at some future SNUG...

stuart@sutherland.com



don.mills@microchip.com